

Selective and nonselective His bundle pacing unmasks pathological Q waves on the electrocardiogram

Agnieszka Bednarek¹, Paweł Moskal¹, Grzegorz Kiełbasa¹, Rafał Baranowski², Marek Rajzer¹, Marek Jastrzębski¹

¹ 1st Department of Cardiology, Interventional Electrophysiology and Hypertension, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Kraków, Poland

² 1st Department of Arrhythmias, The Cardinal Stefan Wyszyński National Institute of Cardiology, Warsaw, Poland

The electrocardiographic diagnosis of acute and chronic ischemia during right ventricular pacing is challenging, because nonphysiological pacing disturbs the sequence of ventricular depolarization and repolarization leading to major changes in QRS morphology and ST-segment displacement. Permanent His bundle pacing (HBP) maintains or restores the physiological, or nearly physiological, activation of the ventricles. Therefore, the classic interpretation of ischemic changes can be possible, although it has never been systematically studied. Recently, Curila et al¹ showed that HBP allows for the diagnosis of acute ischemia. In this case report, we present the impact of HBP on the diagnosis of old ischemic changes.

A 79-year-old man presented with a history of remote myocardial infarction of the inferolateral wall, heart failure, permanent atrial fibrillation, advanced atrioventricular block, and an implanted single-chamber ventricular pacemaker. Echocardiography demonstrated a dilated left ventricle, impaired left ventricular ejection fraction of 40%, and akinesia of the inferior wall with transmural fibrosis. Angiography showed chronic total occlusion of the right coronary artery. Due to the high burden of ventricular pacing with wide paced QRS complexes, it was likely that pacing-induced cardiomyopathy contributed to the patient's symptoms and the development of heart failure. Therefore, he was scheduled for an upgrade to physiological pacing with permanent HBP. During the follow-up, clinical and echocardiographic improvement was observed.

In this case, the His bundle could be paced both selectively (threshold of 1.25 V at 1 ms) and nonselectively (myocardial threshold of

2.5 V at 1 ms) (FIGURE 1). Interestingly, previously concealed electrocardiographic features of old myocardial infarction were revealed both during selective and nonselective His bundle capture. During selective capture, which completely restores physiological conduction, pathological Q waves reappeared in all inferolateral leads, while during nonselective capture, characterized by some direct nonphysiological depolarization of the septal myocardium, pathological Q waves were present only in leads III, aVF, and V₆. This explicitly illustrates that the degree of nonphysiological depolarization plays a crucial role in obscuring pathological Q waves.

It is difficult to diagnose remote myocardial infarction by electrocardiography during ventricular pacing, as pathological Q waves present during the intrinsic rhythm usually disappear. Notching of the upstroke of the S wave in leads V₃ through V₅ (Cabrera sign), notching of the upstroke of the R wave in leads I, aVL, or V₆ (Chapman sign), and qR complexes are the most commonly cited criteria for the diagnosis of remote myocardial infarction in paced patients. However, these markers are neither sensitive nor specific and not useful in localizing myocardial infarction.²⁻⁴ Of note, neither Cabrera nor Chapman signs were present in our patient during any type of pacing, while qR in lead I and QS complexes in lead aVL observed during right ventricular pacing were nondiagnostic because of outflow tract lead position.⁵

In conclusion, the presented case showed that restoring the physiological depolarization of the ventricles by HBP may not only mitigate pacing-induced cardiomyopathy but also

Correspondence to:

Agnieszka Bednarek, MD, PhD, 1st Department of Cardiology, Interventional Electrophysiology and Hypertension, Jagiellonian University Medical College, ul. Jakubowskiego 2, 30-688 Kraków, Poland, phone: +48 12 400 21 50, email: agafraczek@gmail.com
Received: July 8, 2020.

Revision accepted: July 30, 2020.

Published online: July 31, 2020.

Kardiol Pol. 2020; 78 (11): 1178-1179
doi:10.33963/KP.15541

Copyright by the Author(s), 2020




FIGURE 1 QRS morphology during ventricular depolarization of various types in the same patient: **A** – right ventricular outflow tract pacing: no pathological Q waves are present in inferior leads, but qR morphology can be seen in lead I and the QS complex in lead aVL; **B** – nonselective His bundle pacing: pathological Q waves are present in leads III, aVF, and V₆; **C** – selective His bundle pacing: pathological Q waves are present in leads II, III, aVF, V₅, and V₆; **D** – intrinsic QRS complex: pathological Q waves are present in leads II, III, aVF, V₅, and V₆.

enable the application of the classic criteria for the diagnosis of old myocardial infarction, which were developed for narrow, nonpaced QRS rhythms, in patients with His bundle paced QRS complexes.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

CONFLICT OF INTEREST None declared.

OPEN ACCESS This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), allowing third parties to download articles and share them with others, provided the original work is properly cited, not changed in any way, distributed under the same license, and used for noncommercial purposes only. For commercial use, please contact the journal office at kardiologia.polska@ptkardio.pl.

HOW TO CITE Bednarek A, Moskal P, Kielbasa G, et al. Selective and nonselective His bundle pacing unmasks pathological Q waves on the electrocardiogram. *Kardiol Pol.* 2020; 78: 1178-1179. doi:10.33963/KP.15541

REFERENCES

- Curila K, Stros P, Herman D, et al. Electrocardiogram changes due to myocardial infarction in a patient with selective His bundle pacing. *Kardiol Pol.* 2019; 77: 237.
- Kochiadakis GE, Kaleboubas MD, Igoumenidis NE, et al. Electrocardiographic appearance of old myocardial infarction in paced patients. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol.* 2002; 25: 1061-1065.
- Kindwall KE, Brown JP, Josephson ME. Predictive accuracy of criteria for chronic myocardial infarction in pacing-induced left bundle branch block. *Am J Cardiol.* 1986; 57: 1255-1260.

4 Tzeis S, Andrikopoulos G, Asbach S, et al. Electrocardiographic identification of prior myocardial infarction during right ventricular pacing – effect of septal versus apical pacing. *Int J Cardiol.* 2014; 177: 977-981.

5 Herweg B, Marcus MB, Barold SS. Diagnosis of myocardial infarction and ischemia in the setting of bundle branch block and cardiac pacing. *Herzschrittmacherther Elektrophysiol.* 2016; 27: 307-322.